

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
SEP 28 2005

## FAX COVER SHEET

---

TO

COMPANY

FAX NUMBER 15712738300

FROM charles mirho

DATE 2005-09-28 19:18:49 GMT

Application Number: 10/712,350: Attorney  
RE Docket Number: FSP0036: Office Action  
Response Filing Documents

---

## COVER MESSAGE

---

Attorney Docket Number: FSP0036  
Title: EXPANDABLE SCAFFOLD WITH WHEEL LOCK  
Application Number: 10/712,350  
First Named Inventor: Winter  
Filing Date: November 13, 2003  
Art Unit: 3634

GET FREE ONLINE FAX DELIVERY FROM eFAX  
WWW.EFAX.COM

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

for

Attorney Docket Number: FSP0036  
Title: EXPANDABLE SCAFFOLD WITH WHEEL LOCK  
Application Number: 10/712,350  
Filing Date: Thursday, November 13, 2003  
First Named Inventor: Winter, Steven  
Group Art Unit: 3634  
Examiner Name: Chin-Shue, Alvin

---

I hereby certify that the following is being transmitted via facsimile to telephone number 571-273-8300 on Wednesday, September 28, 2005.

Signature: /Jennifer J. Sundquist/  
Jennifer J. Sundquist

Contents of This Correspondence

---

7 pages of amendment and remarks  
1 page of Certificate of facsimile

Attorney Docket Number: FSP0036  
Title: EXPANDABLE SCAFFOLD WITH WHEEL LOCK  
Application Number: 10/712,350

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 28 2005

-1-

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**AMENDMENT**

**FOR**

Attorney Docket Number: FSP0036  
Title: EXPANDABLE SCAFFOLD WITH WHEEL LOCK  
Application Number: 10/712,350  
Filing Date: Thursday, November 13, 2003  
First Named Inventor: Winter, Steven  
Group Art Unit: 3634  
Examiner Name: Chin-Shue, Alvin

---

**Summary of Office Action**

In an office action mailed on 06/28/2005, claims 1,2, and 4 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Romano, US 3,250,344; claim 11 is rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as anticipated by Brewster, US 2,600,199; claim 4 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Romano; claim 5 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Romano in view of Wyse (incomplete citation provided); claim 3 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Romano in view of Pierce, US 3,282,378; claim 6 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Romano in view of MacDonald; US 1,737,315; claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Brewster in view of Moller, US 3,820,190 and Benbow, US 2,359,284; claims 12-15 are objected to as substantial duplicates of claims 7-10; claim 10 would be allowed if written in independent form.

**Summary of Amendments**

Amendments have been made to the claims. The amendments to the claims are detailed under the heading "Claims". Deletions are shown in strike-through; additions are underlined.